

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Ocul Surf.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 July 23.

Published in final edited form as:

Ocul Surf. 2023 April ; 28: 254–261. doi:10.1016/j.jtos.2023.05.002.

Predatory bacteria can reduce *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* induced corneal perforation and proliferation in a rabbit keratitis model

Eric G. Romanowski^{a,b}, Nicholas A. Stella^{a,b}, Bryn L. Brazile^b, Kira L. Lathrop^b, Jonathan M. Franks^c, Ian A. Sigal^{b,d}, Tami Kim^e, Mennat Elsayed^e, Daniel E. Kadouri^e, Robert M.Q. Shanks^{a,b,*}

^aThe Charles T. Campbell Laboratory, Department of Ophthalmology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

^bDepartment of Ophthalmology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

^cCenter for Biological Imaging, University of Pittsburgh School of Engineering, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

^dDepartment of Bioengineering, Swanson School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

eDepartment of Oral Biology, Rutgers School of Dental Medicine, Newark, NJ, USA

Abstract

Purpose: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* keratitis is a severe ocular infection that can lead to perforation of the cornea. In this study we evaluated the role of bacterial quorum sensing in generating corneal perforation and bacterial proliferation and tested whether co-injection of the predatory bacteria *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* could alter the clinical outcome. *P. aeruginosa* with *lasR* mutations were observed among keratitis isolates from a study collecting samples from India, so an isogenic *lasR* mutant strain of *P. aeruginosa* was included.

Methods: Rabbit corneas were intracorneally infected with *P. aeruginosa* strain PA14 or an isogenic *lasR* mutant and co-injected with PBS or *B. bacteriovorus*. After 24 h, eyes were evaluated for clinical signs of infection. Samples were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy, optical coherence tomography, sectioned for histology, and corneas were homogenized for CFU enumeration and for inflammatory cytokines.

Results: We observed that 54% of corneas infected by wild-type PA14 presented with a corneal perforation (n = 24), whereas only 4% of PA14 infected corneas that were co-infected with *B. bacteriovorus* perforate (n = 25). Wild-type *P. aeruginosa* proliferation was reduced 7-fold in the

Appendix A. Supplementary data

^{*}Corresponding author. 203 Lothrop St, Pittsburgh, PA, 15213, USA. shanksrm@upmc.edu (R.M.Q. Shanks). Declaration of competing interest

The authors have no commercial interests regarding this study.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtos.2023.05.002.

predatory bacteria treated eyes. The *lasR* mutant was less able to proliferate compared to the wild-type, but was largely unaffected by *B. bacteriovorus*.

Conclusion: These studies indicate a role for bacterial quorum sensing in the ability of *P. aeruginosa* to proliferate and cause perforation of the rabbit cornea. Additionally, this study suggests that predatory bacteria can reduce the virulence of *P. aeruginosa* in an ocular prophylaxis model.

Keywords

BALOs; *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*; Corneal perforation; Inflammation; Keratitis; Predatory bacteria; *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

1. Introduction

Predatory bacteria, such as *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*, have been suggested as an alternative approach for treatment antibiotic resistant bacterial infections in general [1–6]. *B. bacteriovorus* is a Gram-negative bacterium that is an obligate predator of other Gram-negative bacteria. Given the preponderance of Gram-negative bacteria in causing contact-lens related keratitis, predatory bacteria have been hypothesized as treatments for eye infections [7–9], and are largely non-toxic and non-inflammatory when applied in great numbers to a corneal epithelial cell line and intact and wounded ocular surfaces [7,10]. These have been tested to prevent bacterial proliferation on the ocular surface with some success and to prevent the development of keratitis in a mouse model using *Escherichia coli* as a pathogen [11,12].

Unlike *E. coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is the leading cause of contact lens associated keratitis, a blinding infection [13–15]. Antibiotic resistant *P. aeruginosa* keratitis isolates are associated with worse clinical outcomes [16–19]. Previous work showed that *B. bacteriovorus* predation is not influenced by antibiotic resistance status of its prey [3,5,7] and is even effective at clearing antibiotic tolerant biofilms [20,21]. A recent study showed that around 20% of *P. aeruginosa* keratitis isolates isolated in India from 2006 to 2010 had mutations in the *lasR* gene and that these strains were correlated with worse visual outcomes in patients [22]. The LasR protein is a major regulator of quorum sensing and important in regulation of numerous virulence-associated genes [23].

In this study we evaluated whether *B. bacteriovorus* strain HD100 could act as a "living antibiotic" in a rabbit keratitis infection model using a clade 2 (cytotoxic/ExoU⁺) and highly virulent *P. aeruginosa* strain. This was a proof-of-concept prevention model rather than a treatment model. The study found that the predatory bacteria caused mild-moderate inflammation, but were able to reduce *P. aeruginosa* proliferation and most importantly significantly reduced the frequency of corneal perforation events caused by *P. aeruginosa*. We also observed that an isogenic *P. aeruginosa* lasR mutant was unable to replicate in the rabbit cornea and caused significantly fewer corneal perforations, but was not significantly reduced by *B. bacteriovorus* in the cornea.

2. Methods

2.1. Bacterial strains and culture

B. bacteriovorus HD100 (ATCC 15356) [24] was used for this study. Preparation of *B. bacteriovorus* followed prior reports [10,12] where *B. bacteriovorus* was incubated with ~10⁹ CFU/ml *E. coli* diaminopimelic acid auxotroph strain WM3064 for 24 h at 30 °C. The resulting mixture was passed multiple times through a 0.45-µm Millex[®]-HV pore-size filter (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) to remove remaining prey. Predators were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and concentrated centrifugation. *B. bacteriovorus* was suspended in PBS to 1.5×10^{10} PFU/ml *B. bacteriovorus*.

For a pathogen, the cytotoxic (ExoU+)/clade II strain UCBPP-PA14 (PA14) [25–28] and an isogenic *lasR* mutant were used [29]. These were inoculated from single colonies into LB medium and grown with aeration at 30 °C or 37 °C. For inoculation, *P. aeruginosa* were diluted in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) to achieve the inoculum ($\sim 2-4 \times 10^3$ CFU in 10 µl).

2.2. Bacterial keratitis studies

The experiments in this study conformed to the ARVO Statement on the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research and were approved by the University of Pittsburgh's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC Protocols 15025331 and 18022194).

Female New Zealand White rabbits (1.1-1.4 kg) were obtained from the Oakwood Research Facility through Charles River Laboratories. The rabbits were anesthetized with 40 mg/kg of ketamine and 4 mg/kg of xylazine administered intramuscularly. The corneas of the right eyes only were anesthetized with topical 0.5% proparacaine and injected intrastromally with the 10 µl of *P. aeruginosa* (~2000 CFU) in PBS or PBS alone as a control. Actual inocula for each trial was determined using the EddyJet 2 spiral plating system (Neutec Group Inc., Farmingdale, NY) on 5% trypticase soy agar with 5% sheep's blood plates. Plates were incubated for ~18–20 h at 37 °C and the colonies were enumerated (Flash and Grow colony counting system, Neutec Group, Inc). This was followed by a 25 µl injection of *B. bacteriovorus* in PBS (~4 × 10⁸) or PBS. A 30 gauge needle ½" long attached to a 0.1 ml Hamilton Gastight Syringe was used for all intrastromal injections.

At 24 h post-injection, the eyes were evaluated for corneal signs of inflammation using a slit-lamp according to a modified McDonald-Shadduck grading system [30], based on the sum of the following criteria: corneal opacity (0–4), corneal opacity area (0–4), corneal vascularization (0–2), and corneal area staining with fluorescein (0–4). Rabbits were systemically anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine as described above and euthanized with an intravenous overdose of Euthasol solution following the 2020 AVMA Euthanasia Guidelines.

Corneal buttons were harvested using a 10 mm trephine and placed into Lysing Matrix A tubes (MP Biomedicals) containing 1 ml of PBS. The corneas were then homogenized with an MP Fast Prep-24 homogenizer (MP Biomedicals), and the numbers of corneal bacteria were enumerated as described above. The centrifuged and filtered homogenate (Millipore

Page 4

 $0.22 \ \mu m PVDF$ filter) was frozen for ELISA analysis (IL-1 β kit, Sigma-Aldrich RAB1108 MMP9 kit, ThermoFisher ER13RB) following the manufacturer's guidelines. Additional corneas were fixed with paraformaldehyde (4%) in PBS, embedded in paraffin, and 5 μ m sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Sections were viewed using an Olympus Provis AX-70 microscope and images captured with MagnaFire 2.1 software.

In another set of animals, the whole eyes were removed following euthanasia and stored individually in 25 ml of PBS and kept on ice. Within 1 h, their corneas were scanned by optical coherence tomography (OCT) using a Bioptigen Envisu R2210 system with InVivoVue software (Leica Microsystems) following the same general approach described elsewhere [31]. Briefly, the system was modified with a broadband superluminescent diode (Superlum, Dublin, Ireland $\lambda = 870$ nm, $\lambda = 200$ nm, 20,000 A-scans/second). OCT volume scans of the central cornea were acquired using a 10 mm telecentric lens for anterior segment imaging and a scan pattern: 6 mm × 6 mm × 2 mm (1000 × 100 × 1024 pixel sampling), with 1 Frame per B-scans, i.e. no repetitions. At least three scans were obtained of each sample and the best quality scan chosen for analysis. The most common issue was a high signal at the cornea apex that reduced visibility directly underneath. Scans of a given eye were completed in less than 6 min. The B-scans were loaded into Fiji for visualization as image volumes and to export stills and movies [32].

2.3. Scanning electron microscopy

Electron microscopy was performed as previously described [12]. Rabbit corneal buttons were fixed with glutaraldehyde (3%) at room temperature for 24 h and washed with PBS. Samples were pinned to prevent curling and post-fixed using osmium tetroxide (1%), dehydrated with ethanol (30–100%), immersed in hexamethyldisilazane and air-dried. Samples were mounted to aluminum stubs and sputter coated with gold/palladium (6 nm). Scanning electron microscopy was performed using a JEOL JSM-6335F scanning electron microscope (3 kV).

2.4. In vitro predation assay

P. aeruginosa were grown at 37 °C in LB broth on a tissue culture rotor, centrifuged and suspended in HEPES buffer (25 mM HEPES with 3 mM MgCl₂ and 2 mM CaCl₂). Aliquots of 0.5 ml *P. aeruginosa* (~1 × 10⁹ CFU), 0.5 ml B. *bacteriovorus* (~2 × 10⁸ PFU) and 4 ml of HEPES buffer were added to 15 ml conical polypropylene tubes and incubated at 30 °C. At 24 h, bacteria were serial diluted and plated on LB agar plates to determine surviving *P. aeruginosa*. Log reductions were calculated as compared to a predator free control survival at the same time point.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's post-test was used for non-parametric analysis and ANOVA with Tukey's post-test or Student's T-tests for parametric analysis. Contingency analysis was performed with Chi-Square test followed by Fisher's Exact test for pair-wise comparisons. All analyses were done with GraphPad Prism software.

3. Results

3.1. Evaluation of the antimicrobial efficacy of B. bacteriovorus in a P. aeruginosa keratitis model

The ability of the predatory bacteria *B. bacteriovorus* strain HD100 to prevent *P. aeruginosa* proliferation in a bacterial keratitis model was evaluated. *P. aeruginosa* was used because it is a major cause of corneal infection. In this study either 10 μ l of *P. aeruginosa* (~2000 CFU) or the PBS vehicle was injected into the corneal stroma (Fig. 1A), followed by a second injection of 25 μ l of either *B. bacteriovorus* strain HD100 (4 × 10⁸ PFU) or the PBS vehicle into the same needle track.

The virulent *P. aeruginosa* strain UCBPP-PA14 (PA14) [25–28] was injected into the cornea at an average of 1777 ± 353 CFU/cornea. This strain is a clade 2 strain, also known as a cytotoxic strain, and is *exoU* positive and *exoS* negative [28]. This strain has not been previously reported in a rabbit keratitis model to our knowledge.

A recent study showed a high frequency of natural *lasR* mutants among *P. aeruginosa* keratitis isolates [22]. Therefore, the experiments were also carried out with an isogenic variant of PA14 with a deletion of the *lasR* quorum sensing regulator. This strain was previously validated by restoring with the wild-type allele and is defective in expression of a number of potential virulence associated phenotypes such as protease production [22].

PA14 was able to replicate 40-fold to 7.25×10^4 CFU/cornea by 24 h (Fig. 1B). When *B. bacteriovorus* was injected following *P. aeruginosa* (PA14 + HD100), a significant reduction in *P. aeruginosa* proliferation was observed (1.03×10^4 CFU at 24 h, p < 0.01) (Fig. 1B).

Though slightly more *lasR* mutant bacteria were inoculated compared to the WT (3805 \pm 1703 CFU/cornea, p = 0.03), the CFU per cornea at 24 h was significantly lower (12-fold) than the PA14-WT strain with 5.9×10^3 CFU/cornea, p < 0.01 (Fig. 1B). Unlike WT, the predatory bacteria did not significantly reduce the corneal burden of the *lasR* mutant (Fig. 1B). This was not due to a reduced ability of the predatory bacteria to prey upon the *lasR* mutant, as was determined by an *in vitro* predation assay. The mean Log₁₀ reduction *in vitro* was 4.9 \pm 0.05 CFU/ml for PA14 and 4.7 \pm 0.15 CFU/ml for *lasR*, p > 0.05, n = 3, indicating indistinguishable predation ability of the two *P. aeruginosa* strains.

The eyes were evaluated with a slit lamp and fluorescein staining using a MacDonald-Shadduck-based scoring system [30] and there was a clear increase in corneal inflammation score by 24 h in eyes injected with PA14 alone (PA14 + PBS) (Figs. 1C and 2). The PA14 infected eyes had a high level of corneal perforation in just over half of the infected corneas (54%) (Fig. 1D).

This reduction in PA14 CFU caused by *B. bacteriovorus* did not significantly influence the overall corneal score (PA14 + HD100) (Fig. 1C), but was associated with a remarkable reduction in the frequency of corneal perforations (4%, p < 0.001) (Fig. 1D).

B. bacteriovorus caused comparatively less inflammation compared to other groups and was not significantly different than vehicle treated eyes (Fig. 1C–, 2). Moreover, *B. bacteriovorus* injection did not cause corneal perforations (Figs. 1D and 2).

Corneal inflammation scores were also lower for the *lasR* mutant (lasR + PBS) compared to the WT (PA14+PBS), but did not reach significance (p > 0.05), (Fig. 1C). Nevertheless, the *lasR* mutant was defective in causing corneal perforation compared to the WT (PA14+PBS) (Fig. 1D, p = 0.002).

Fluorescein staining and slit lamp examination 24 h post-inoculation revealed minor pathology of the corneas infected with *B. bacteriovorus* that consisted of redness and a small infiltrate that did not cause a fluorescein stained ulcer (PBS + HD100) (Fig. 2). By contrast, the *P. aeruginosa* injected eyes (PA14 + PBS) showed major purulent discharge from the large central corneal ulcer and anterior chamber effects (Fig. 2). Eyes injected with both (PA14 + HD100) had an intermediate phenotype similar to those injected with both the *lasR P. aeruginosa* and predatory bacteria (lasR + PBS) (Fig. 2).

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) was done on a subset of eyes following sacrifice of the rabbits at 24 h post-inject (Fig. 3). This reveals the shape of the cornea and unlike the PBS only injected eyes (PBS + PBS), the *B. bacteriovorus* (HD100) injected eyes had clear inflammation including an infiltrate and overall edema (PBS + HD100). The loss of the corneal integrity was demonstrated in the *P. aeruginosa* PA14 wild-type injected eyes where the massive infiltrate prevented unobstructed corneal imaging and resulted in shadows. By contrast, the *P. aeruginosa* wild-type and HD100 coinjected eyes (PA14 + HD100) had clear damage, ulceration, and infiltrate, but maintained overall shape. The *lasR* mutant with or without HD100 had intermediate phenotypes. Videos depicting OCT volumes of corneal layers are provided in the supplemental information and clearly demonstrate the perforation of the *P. aeruginosa* strain PA14 infected eye (Videos 1–3).

Supplementary video related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtos.2023.05.002.

To evaluate whether infiltrates were made by neutrophils, H&E staining of corneas was performed. The histology provided consistent results with the fluorescein staining with respect to maintenance or loss of corneal epithelium (Fig. 4). Neutrophils and severe damage of the anterior stroma were observed in corneas infected by PA14 and to a lesser extent by *B. bacteriovorus* (Fig. 4 and Fig. S1).

Low magnification scanning electron microscopy showed the perforation in the PA14 infected cornea that was absent in the other corneas (Fig. 5). Similar to fluorescein results (Fig. 2), a clear ulcer was evident in corneas infected with PA14 (PA14 + PBS) and those infected with *B. bacteriovorus* and *P. aeruginosa* (PA14 + HD100) (Fig. 5). At higher power, red and white blood cells were observed on the ocular surface of most eyes, especially those infected with PA14 (Fig. 5).

Consistent with the corneal inflammation MMP9 (92-kDa neutrophil gelatinase or gelatinase B), an enzyme that digests gelatin and collagen types IV and V [33], and the pro-

inflammatory cytokine interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) were highly induced in corneas infected with PA14, and to a lesser extent by *B. bacteriovorus* (Fig. 6). The co-infection of PA14 and *B. bacteriovorus* did not significantly alter these pro-inflammatory markers. However, *B. bacteriovorus* by itself was less inflammatory than PA14 for both MMP9 and IL-1 β (p < 0.05). Notably, although the *lasR* (PBS + *lasR*) infected eyes had less inflammation than eyes infected by the WT (PBS + PA14), the levels of MMP9 and IL-1 β levels were similar (Fig. 6).

4. Discussion

This study demonstrated the severe pathogenesis caused by strain PA14 in a rabbit corneal infection model. 54% of corneas perforated by 24 h limiting the length of the study for ethical reasons. While *B. bacteriovorus* on their own caused some inflammation, they prevented *P. aeruginosa* proliferation and corneal perforation.

In this study, MMP9 was strongly induced by *P. aeruginosa*. MMP9 is a metalloproteinase secreted by neutrophils, macrophages, and corneal epithelial cells [33]. Transcription of the MMP9 gene was strongly induced when corneal cells are exposed to bacteria [34,35]. MMP9 is involved in remodeling of the corneal basement membrane and regulates corneal healing, but has also been linked with corneal perforation [36–38]. Our results suggest that MMP9 may contribute to, but is not fully responsible for corneal perforation caused by *P. aeruginosa* as MMP9 levels remained in infected corneas that did not perforate. Other factors such as neutrophil elastase, MMP8, or bacterial proteases such as elastase B, which is positively regulated by LasR may be key contributors to corneal perforation in our model [39,40].

IL-1 is a major proinflammatory cytokine during bacterial keratitis [41] and promotes neutrophil mediated corneal damage in mouse models of *P. aeruginosa* keratitis [42,43]. An *in vitro* study showed that keratocytes produced collagenolytic compounds in an IL-1 dependent manner and that collagen degradation could be prevented with an IL-1 inhibitor [44]. Similarly, anti-IL-1 β antibody pretreatment reduced MMP9 induction in a mouse (C57Black/6) *P. aeruginosa* keratitis model leading to the overall model that *P. aeruginosa* induced IL-1 induces the expression of MMPs resulting in the destruction of corneal tissue [41].

In our study, predatory bacteria failed to induce IL-1 β production, while this is unusual for a Gram-negative bacteria in the corneal stroma, *B. bacteriovorus* have unusual physiology that may reduce its ability to activate the immune system. Namely, it has a modified lipopolysaccharide structure that does not activate TLR4 and a membrane sheathed flagellum, so is unlikely to activate TLR5-mediated inflammation [45, 46]. By contrast, all other infection groups strongly induced IL-1 β that correlated with high levels of MMP9. The intermediate levels of MMP9 induced by *B. bacteriovorus* suggest that there are IL-1independent mechanisms to induce MMP9. Although the levels of MMP9 measured in the predator group was significantly lower than that measured in the *P. aeruginosa* infected arm, one should consider that the concentration of the predator injected was 5 logs higher (~4 × 10^8 vs 2 × 10^3 respectively). The low inflammatory stimulating effect of *B. bacteriovorus*

seen in this study is in agreement with both *in vitro* [7,47,48] and *in vivo* studies that show that pro inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1, are not significantly elevated after exposure to the predator [10,49–52].

The role of the LasR quorum sensing marker in *P. aeruginosa* keratitis has previously been characterized in mouse models using a clade I/invasive/ExoS + strain PA01 and similar, but not isogenic lasR mutant [53]. Prior work has evaluated the importance of LasR in P. aeruginosa keratitis. These studies both used PAO1, an ExoS+/invasive/clade I strain and a randomly mutated variant of PAO1 that was selected for streptomycin resistance, PAO1s with the *lasR* gene replaced by a tetracycline resistance cassette. The first study demonstrated that in a murine scratch model, 33-fewer *lasR* mutant bacteria were necessary to establish infections in C3H/HeN mice [54]. The second study used the same bacterial strains with a murine scratch keratitis model using BALB/c mice and did not find a difference in the ability of the two bacteria to cause keratitis, however the *lasR* mutant was not as successful in proliferation with ~10-fold lower CFU/cornea being measured [55]. Our study, by contrast, used a ExoU+/cytotoxic/clade II strain in a rabbit intrastromal injection model. While both the scratch and intrastromal injection models have important limitations, the intrastromal injection model ensures highly similar inoculation numbers, which are important for a treatment study, especially when the *lasR* mutant and wild-type express adhesins at different levels [22]. The results of this study suggest that once past the epithelial barrier the *lasR* mutant is less able to proliferate and this may account for the highly reduced frequency of perforations, additionally, the lower expression of collagen digesting proteases such as elastase B by the *lasR* mutant may also contribute to the highly reduced frequency of corneal perforations [53,55]. More work is needed to understand the complex role of LasR regulated quorum sensing in *P. aeruginosa* keratitis, nevertheless, this study supports the model that in at least one cytotoxic strain, LasR is required for full levels of pathogenesis.

The use of predatory bacteria as a "living antibiotic", recently reviewed [56], suggest that *B. bacteriovorus* is generally successful in preventing infection or reducing pathology by a number of bacterial pathogens. These include, but are not limited to, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* [51], *Shigella flexneri* [57], and *Yersinia pesitis* [58]. Mixed outcomes have come from eye models. *B. bacteriovorus* was not efficacious in preventing *Moraxella bovis* induced keratoconjunctivitis in dairy calves [9], whereas it was able to reduce *Escherichia coli* proliferation in a rodent model of keratitis [11]. Notably, thus far experiments have focused on infection prevention and prophylaxis experiments rather than treatment of established infections with possible exception of a study demonstrating reducing pathology in a rodent periodontitis model [59].

This proof-of-concept study supports that predatory bacteria can prevent bacterial proliferation and prevent corneal perforation in a keratitis model, however, further study to test efficacy against established infections by multiple types of *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolates are necessary to move the concept of using predatory bacteria as a therapeutic forward.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Deborah Hogan at Dartmouth Medical School for strains, Kimberly Brothers, Kathleen Yates, and Katherine Davoli for help with animals and histology expertise. This study was funded by National Institutes of Health grants R01EY027331 (to R.S.) and CORE Grant P30 EY08098 to the Department of Ophthalmology. The Eye and Ear Foundation of Pittsburgh and from an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness, New York, NY provided additional departmental funding. This work was also funded by the U.S. Army Research Office and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) and was accomplished under Cooperative Agreement Number W911NF-15-2-0036 to DEK and RMQS. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Army Research Office, DARPA, or the U.S. Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for Government purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation hereon.

References

- Cavallo FM, Jordana L, Friedrich AW, Glasner C, van Dijl JM. Bdellovibrio bacterovorus: a potential 'living antibiotic' to control bacterial pathogens. Crit Rev Microbiol 2021;47:630–46. [PubMed: 33934682]
- [2]. Atterbury RJ, Tyson J. Predatory bacteria as living antibiotics where are we now? Microbiology 2021;167.
- [3]. Dharani S, Kim DH, Shanks RMQ, Doi Y, Kadouri DE. Susceptibility of colistin-resistant pathogens to predatory bacteria. Res Microbiol 2018;169:52–5. [PubMed: 28919044]
- [4]. Negus D, Moore C, Baker M, Raghunathan D, Tyson J, Sockett ER. Predatory verus pathogen: how does predatory Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus interface with the challenges of killing Gramnegative pathogens in a host setting? Annu Rev Microbiol 2017;71:441–57. [PubMed: 28886689]
- [5]. Kadouri DE, To K, Shanks RM, Doi Y. Predatory bacteria: a potential ally against multidrugresistant Gram-negative pathogens. PLoS One 2013;8:e63397. [PubMed: 23650563]
- [6]. Dwidar M, Hong S, Cha M, Jang J, Mitchell RJ. Combined application of bacterial predation and carbon dioxide aerosols to effectively remove biofilms. Biofouling 2012;28:671–80. [PubMed: 22783977]
- [7]. Shanks RM, Davra VR, Romanowski EG, Brothers KM, Stella NA, Godboley D, et al. An eye to a kill: using predatory bacteria to control gram-negative pathogens associated with ocular infections. PLoS One 2013;8:e66723. [PubMed: 23824756]
- [8]. Shanks RM, Kadouri DE. Predatory prokaryotes wage war against eye infections. Future Microbiol 2014;9:429–32. [PubMed: 24810340]
- [9]. Boileau MJ, Mani R, Breshears MA, Gilmour M, Taylor JD, Clickenbeard KD. Efficacy of Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus 109J for the treatment of dairy calves with experimentally induced infectious bovine keratoconjunctivitis. Am J Vet Res 2016; 77:1017–28. [PubMed: 27580114]
- [10]. Romanowski EG, Stella NA, Brothers KM, Yates KA, Funderburgh ML, Funderburgh JL, et al. Predatory bacteria are nontoxic to the rabbit ocular surface. Sci Rep 2016;6:30987. [PubMed: 27527833]
- [11]. Cui M, Zheng M, Wiraja C, Chew SWT, Mishra A, Mayandi V, et al. Ocular delivery of predatory bacteria with cryomicroeedles against eye infection. Adv Sci 2021;8: 2102327.
- [12]. Romanowski EG, Gupta S, Pericleous A, Kadouri DE, Shanks RMQ. Clearance of Gramnegative bacterial pathogens from the ocular surface by predatory bacteria. Antibiotics 2021;10:810. [PubMed: 34356731]
- [13]. Fleiszig SMJ, Kroken AR, Nieto V, Grosser MR, Wan SJ, Metruccio MME, et al. Contact lens-related corneal infection: intrinsic resistance and its compromise. Prog Retin Eye Res 2020;76:100804. [PubMed: 31756497]

- [14]. Miyajima S, Akaike T, Matsumoto K, Okamoto T, Yoshitake J, Hayashida K, et al. Matrix metalloproteinases induction by pseudomonal virulence factors and inflammatory cytokines in vitro. Microb Pathog 2001;31:271–81. [PubMed: 11747375]
- [15]. White CD, Alionte LG, Cannon BM, Caballero AR, O'Callaghan RJ, Hobden JA. Corneal virulence of LasA protease–deficient Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1. Cornea 2001;20:643–6. [PubMed: 11473168]
- [16]. Hilliam Y, Kaye S, Winstanley C. Pseudomonas aeruginosa and microbial keratitis. J Med Microbiol 2020;69:3–13. [PubMed: 31750813]
- [17]. Vazirani J, Wurity S, Ali MH. Multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa keratitis: risk factors, clinical characteristics, and outcomes. Ophthalmology 2015;122: 2110–4. [PubMed: 26189185]
- [18]. Shen EP, Hsieh YT, Chu HS, Chang SC, Hu FR. Correlation of Pseudomonas aeruginosa genotype with antibiotic susceptibility and clinical features of induced central keratitis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014;56:365–71. [PubMed: 25537202]
- [19]. Green M, Apel A, Stapleton F. Risk factors and causative organisms in microbial keratitis. Cornea 2008;27:22–7. [PubMed: 18245962]
- [20]. Kadouri DE, Tran A. Measurement of predation and biofilm formation under different ambient oxygen conditions using a simple gasbag-based system. Appl Environ Microbiol 2013;79:5264– 71. [PubMed: 23811501]
- [21]. Kadouri D, O'Toole GA. Susceptibility of biofilms to Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus attack. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005;71:4044–51. [PubMed: 16000819]
- [22]. Hammond JH, Hebert WP, Naimie A, Ray K, Van Gelder RD, DiGiandomenico A, et al. Environmentally endemic Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains with mutations in lasR are associated with increased disease severity in corneal ulcers. mSphere 2016;1.
- [23]. Kariminik A, Baseri-Salehi M, Kheirkhah B. Pseudomonas aeruginosa quorum sensing modulates immune responses: an updated review article. Immunol Lett 2017;190:1–6. [PubMed: 28698104]
- [24]. Stolp H, Starr MP. Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus Gen. Et Sp. N., A predatory, ectoparasitic, and bacteriolytic microorganism. Antonie Leeuwenhoek 1963;29: 217–48. [PubMed: 14068454]
- [25]. Rahme LG, Stevens EJ, Wolfort SF, Shao J, Tompkins RG, Ausubel FM. Common virulence factors for bacterial pathogenicity in plants and animals. Science 1995; 268:1899–902. [PubMed: 7604262]
- [26]. Finck-Barbancon V, Goranson J, Zhu L, Sawa T, Wiener-Kronish JP, Fleiszig SM, et al. ExoU expression by Pseudomonas aeruginosa correlates with acute cytotoxicity and epithelial injury. Mol Microbiol 1997;25:547–57. [PubMed: 9302017]
- [27]. Mathee K Forensic investigation into the origin of Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA14 old but not lost. J Med Microbiol 2018;67:1019–21. [PubMed: 30067168]
- [28]. Freschi L, Vincent AT, Jeukens J, Emond-Rheault JG, Kukavica-Ibrulj I, Dupont MJ, et al. The Pseudomonas aeruginosa pan-genome provides New insights on its population structure, horizontal gene transfer, and pathogenicity. Genome Biol Evol 2019;11:109–20. [PubMed: 30496396]
- [29]. Hogan DA, Vik A, Kolter R. A Pseudomonas aeruginosa quorum-sensing molecule influences Candida albicans morphology. Mol Microbiol 2004;54:1212–23. [PubMed: 15554963]
- [30]. Altmann S, Emanuel A, Toomey M, McIntyre K, Covert J, Dubielzig RR, et al. A quantitative rabbit model of vaccinia keratitis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2010; 51:4531–40. [PubMed: 20375331]
- [31]. Zhu Z, Waxman S, Wang B, Wallace J, Schmitt SE, Tyler-Kabara E, et al. Interplay between intraocular and intracranial pressure effects on the optic nerve head in vivo. Exp Eye Res 2021;213:108809. [PubMed: 34736887]
- [32]. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 2012;9: 676–82. [PubMed: 22743772]
- [33]. Barletta JP, Angella G, Balch KC, Dimova HG, Stern GA, Moser MT, et al. Inhibition of pseudomonal ulceration in rabbit corneas by a synthetic matrix metalloproteinase inhibitor. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1996;37:20–8. [PubMed: 8550324]

- [34]. Brothers KM, Harvey SAK, Shanks RMQ. Transcription factor EepR is required for Serratia marcescens host proinflammatory response by corneal epithelial cells. Antibiotics 2021;10:770. [PubMed: 34202642]
- [35]. Chidambaram JD, Kannambath S, Srikanthi P, Shah M, Lalitha P, Elakkiya S, et al. Persistence of innate immune pathways in late stage human bacterial and fungal keratitis: results from a comparative transcriptome analysis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2017;7:193. [PubMed: 28573109]
- [36]. Fini ME, Parks WC, Rinehart WB, Girard MT, Matsubara M, Cook JR, et al. Role of matrix metalloproteinases in failure to re-epithelialize after corneal injury. Am J Pathol 1996;149:1287– 302. [PubMed: 8863676]
- [37]. McElvanney AM. Doxycycline in the management of pseudomonas corneal melting: two case reports and a review of the literature. Eye Contact Lens 2003;29: 258–61. [PubMed: 14555906]
- [38]. Olivier FJ, Gilger BC, Barrie KP, Kallberg ME, Plummer CE, O'Reilly M, et al. Proteinases of the cornea and preocular tear film. Vet Ophthalmol 2007;10: 199–206. [PubMed: 17565550]
- [39]. Toder DS, Gambello MJ, Iglewski BH. Pseudomonas aeruginosa LasA: a second elastase under the transcriptional control of lasR. Mol Microbiol 1991;5:2003–10. [PubMed: 1766376]
- [40]. Lin M, Jackson P, Tester AM, Diaconu E, Overall CM, Blalock JE, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase-8 facilitates neutrophil migration through the corneal stromal matrix by collagen degradation and production of the chemotactic peptide Pro-Gly-Pro. Am J Pathol 2008;173:144–53. [PubMed: 18556780]
- [41]. Xue ML, Wakefield D, Willcox MD, Lloyd AR, Di Girolamo N, Cole N, et al. Regulation of MMPs and TIMPs by IL-1beta during corneal ulceration and infection. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2003;44:2020–5. [PubMed: 12714639]
- [42]. Rudner XL, Kernacki KA, Hazelett LD. Prolonged elevation of IL-1 in Pseudomonas aeruginosa ocular infection regulates microphage-inflammatory protein-2 production, polymorphonuclear neutrophil persistance, and corneal performance. J Immunol 2000;164:6576–82. [PubMed: 10843717]
- [43]. Thakur A, Xue M, Stapleton F, Lloyd AR, Wakefield D, Willcox MD. Balance of pro- and antiinflammatory cytokines correlates with outcome of acute experimental Pseudomonas aeruginosa keratitis. Infect Immun 2002;70:2187–97. [PubMed: 11895986]
- [44]. Mishima H, Okamoto J, Nakamura M, Wada Y, Otori T. Collagenolytic activity of keratocytes cultured in a collagen matrix. Jap J Ophthalmol 1998;42:79–84. [PubMed: 9587837]
- [45]. Thomashow LS, Rittenberg SC. Isolation and composition of sheathed flagella from Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus 109J. J Bacteriol 1985;163:1047–54. [PubMed: 4030691]
- [46]. Schwudke D, Linscheid M, Strauch E, Appel B, Zahringer U, Moll H, et al. The obligate predatory Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus possesses a neutral lipid A containing alpha-D-Mannoses that replace phosphate residues: similarities and differences between the lipid as and the lipopolysaccharides of the wild type strain B. bacteriovorus HD100 and its host-independent derivative HI100. J Biol Chem 2003;278:27502–12. [PubMed: 12743115]
- [47]. Gupta S, Tang C, Tran M, Kadouri DE. Effect of predatory bacteria on human cell lines. PLoS One 2016;11:e0161242. [PubMed: 27579919]
- [48]. Monnappa AK, Bari W, Choi SY, Mitchell RJ. Investigating the responses of human epithelial cells to predatory bacteria. Sci Rep 2016;15:33485.
- [49]. Boileau MJ, Mani R, Breshears MA, Gilmour M, Taylor JD, Clinkenbeard KD. Efficacy of Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus 109J for the treatment of dairy calves with experimentally induced infectious bovine keratoconjunctivitis. Am J Vet Res 2016; 77:1017–28. [PubMed: 27580114]
- [50]. Shatzkes K, Chae R, Tang C, Ramirez GC, Mukherjee S, Tsenova L, et al. Examining the safety of respiratory and intravenous inoculation of Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus and Micavibrio aeruginosavorus in a mouse model. Sci Rep 2015;5:12899. [PubMed: 26250699]
- [51]. Shatzkes K, Singleton E, Tang C, Zuena M, Shukla S, Gupta S, et al. Predatory bacteria attenuate Klebsiella pneumoniae burden in rat lungs. mBio 2016;7. e01847–16. [PubMed: 27834203]
- [52]. Shatzkes K, Tang C, Singleton E, Shukla S, Zuena M, Gupta S, et al. Effect of predatory bacteria on the gut bacterial microbiota in rats. Sci Rep 2017;7:43483. [PubMed: 28262674]

- [53]. Gambello MJ, Iglewski BH. Cloning and characterization of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa lasR gene, a transcriptional activator of elastase expression. J Bacteriol 1991;173:3000–9. [PubMed: 1902216]
- [54]. Preston MJ, Seed PC, Toder DS, Iglewski BH, Ohman DE, Gustin JK, et al. Contribution of proteases and LasR to the virulence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa during corneal infections. Infect Immun 1997;65:3086–90. [PubMed: 9234758]
- [55]. Zhu H, Bandara R, Conibear TC, Thuruthyil SJ, Rice SA, Kjelleberg S, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa with lasI quorum-sensing deficiency during corneal infection. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2004;45:1897–903. [PubMed: 15161855]
- [56]. Cavallo FM, Jordana L, Friedrich AW, Glasner C, van Dijl JM. Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus: a potential 'living antibiotic' to control bacterial pathogens. Crit Rev Microbiol 2021;47:630–46. [PubMed: 33934682]
- [57]. Willis RA, Moore C, Mazon-Moya M, Krokowski S, Lambert C, Till R, et al. Injections of predatory bacteria work alongside host immune cells to treat Shigella infections in zebrafish larvae. Curr Biol 2016;26:3343–51. [PubMed: 27889262]
- [58]. Russo R, Kolesnikova I, Kim T, Gupta S, Pericleous A, Kadouri DE, et al. Susceptibility of virulent Yersinia pestis bacteria to predator bacteria in the lungs of mice. Microorganisms 2018;7.
- [59]. Silva PHF, Oliveira LFF, Cardoso RS, Santana SI, Casarin RC, Ervolino E, et al. Effects of Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus HD100 on experimental periodontitis in rats. Mol Oral Microbiol 2023;38:158–70. [PubMed: 36495122]

Romanowski et al.



Fig. 1. Predatory bacteria and *lasR* mutation reduce *P. aeruginosa* pathogenesis in a rabbit keratitis model.

A. Diagram of model in which the rabbit corneal stroma (arrow) is injected with *P. aeruginosa* strain PA14, isogenic *lasR* mutant, or PBS followed by PBS or *B. bacteriovorus* strain HD100. **B-D**. Each point represents a rabbit. **a** indicates significant difference from control group, p < 0.05. n.s. indicates not significantly different than the control group. **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001. **B**. CFU from corneas at 24 h post-injection. Means and SDs are shown. **C.** Corneal inflammation score based on MacDonald-Shadduck scoring system. Medians and interquartile ranges are shown and Kruskal-Wallis analysis with Dunn's posttest was performed. **D.** Corneal perforation frequency. Indicated groups were compared by Fisher's Exact test.

Romanowski et al.



Fig. 2. Bright-field microscopy and fluorescein staining of infected eyes.

Representative images are shown. Fluorescein staining indicates corneal ulceration and loss of corneal epithelium.



Fig. 3. Optical coherence tomography of infected eyes. Representative images are shown. Shadows are due to infiltrates that prevent imaging.

Author Manuscript



PA14 + PBS





PA14 + HD100



Fig. 4. Histological analysis demonstrates neutrophil infiltrates.

Representative images are shown. Magnification was $10\times$. Polymorphonuclear neutrophils are evident from inside the stroma and in at the anterior chamber interface. Black arrows indicate neutrophils and yellow arrows indicate epithelial erosion.



Fig. 5. Scanning electron micrographs of rabbit corneal surfaces.

Representative images are shown. Magnification was $25 \times (top)$ and 500x (bottom). The corneal perforation is clearly shown in the PA14 + PBS injected cornea, and a corneal ulcer was evident in the PA14 with HD100 injected cornea.



Fig. 6. P. aeruginosa strain PA14 stimulation of gelatinase B (MMP9) and pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1 β from rabbit corneas.

Medians and interquartile ranges of pro-inflammatory cytokines are shown. Each point represents one rabbit. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's post-test was performed, **a** indicates significant difference from control group, p < 0.05. n.s. indicates not significantly different than the control group. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01.